

The University of Manchester

HENRY ROYCE INSTITUTE

Sustainable Replacements for Coal Tar Pitch (CTP) Binders

Dr Cristina Vallés

cristina.valles@manchester.ac.uk

Department of Materials & Henry Royce Institute University of Manchester

1

Coal Tar Pitch (CTP)

- Coal Tar Pitch (CTP) is a residue formed from the distillation of coal tar.
- Carbonisable/graphitisable binder to form carbon electrodes (*e.g.* for aluminium smelting), seals, specialty graphites for electric brushes, current collectors, etc.







Current issues with CTP use

However:

- CTP is fossil-derived and **toxic** (recently classified as a 'sunset' status material under REACH).
- Increasing environmental regulations raise concerns about the long-term availability and supply of CTP.

Sustainable alternatives need to be urgently identified for the Foundation Industries dependent on these materials





[1] S. Senanu *et al.* Biocarbon in the Aluminium Industry: A Review. Light Metals (2021), 649³.

Wood Tar Bio-pitch (WTB)



Our Objective:



To evaluate the potential of WTB as a less toxic,

more sustainable carbonizable/graphitizable

Wood

binder that can replace CTP

Bio-oil

Bio-Pitch

WTB obtained from distilling sawdust shows promise as a safe and renewable binder.



[1] S. Senanu et al. Biocarbon in the Aluminium Industry: A Review. Light Metals (2021), 649.



Synthesis of WTB

Commercial supply chain is very nascent: bio-oil & WTB extremely hard to purchase
We established an internal supply of bio-oil & WTB from sawdust within our lab



bio-oil following a second distillation process

Chemical composition of WTB & CTP



WTB/graphite & CTP/graphite Composites

Our WTB represents a much less toxic binder than the CTP typically used in industry, but ... does it show promise for industrial applications?



WTB/CTP



Green Composites:



- WTB/Graphite (50/50)
- o CTP/Graphite (50/50)
- + Sulphur (1.5, 3, 5 wt.%)

Carbonisation (850 °C): to remove the oxygen, hydrogen, etc., and leave a purely carbon network.

Graphitization (2,500 °C): carbon re-arrangement to form graphite crystals

Microstructure & physical properties
Electrical & mechanical properties

Carbonized/Graphitized composites

WTB-based & CTP-based Composites



Properties of the CTP & WTB composites

Electrical Resistivity



 Resistivities ~10⁻⁴-10⁻⁵ Ω·m (good for most applications) Mechanical Properties (3-point bending)



- Adding S improves flexural moduli and strength
- Higher values found for the CTP system (small differences though)

Key results

- WTB was produced at the UoM, mixed with graphite particles and then carbonised and graphitized to produce carbon-carbon composites.
- The carbon yield and electrical and mechanical performance of the graphitized WTB based composites was close to those derived from CTP, showing enormous promise as a more sustainable alternative to CTP.
- The addition of S led to more compact structures and to higher levels of graphitization through a cross-linking between particles, hence improving the mechanical properties of the composites.

Key results

- WTB was proc carbonised an
- The carbon yie graphitized W⁻ showing enorn
- The addition o graphitization t the mechanica



mposites. nce of the erived from CTP, ative to CTP.

icles and then

higher levels of hence improving

used for biopitch alternatives. Hence, graphite/WTB and graphite/ CTP composites were fabricated with varying amounts of sulfur and were subsequently carbonized and graphitized at 850 and 2500 °C, respectively. The use of WTB as a binder led to less porous composites after carbonization/graphitization with higher levels of shrinkage than those based on CTP, whereas the carbon yield was very similar for both systems. The incorporation of sulfur was found to promote more compact structures with higher levels of graphitization, leading to improved electrical and mechanical properties, particularly for the composites based on CTP due to the higher levels of graphitization achieved relative to the WTB. The electrical and mechanical performance found for the WTB-based composites, combined with the much lower toxicity, evidences the promise of WTB as a sustainable alternative to traditional CTP binders.

Amount of 5 (%)

Amount of S (%)

Amount of IS (%)

KEYWORDS: coal tar pitch, wood tar biopitch, carbon-carbon composites, graphitization promotor, electrical properties, mechanical properties

second distillation process. Chemical characterization revealed the presence of higher amounts of aromatic compounds and PAHs in

the industrially used CTP relative to the WTB. Sulfur is widely

used as a graphitization promoter for CTP but has not yet been

Beyond sawdust...

Adding value to industrial waste while developing novel more sustainable materials for industrial use?



Acknowledgements

- Mas Saberian
- Zeban Shah
- Darren Hodgeman
- Ian Kinloch
- Bill Sampson
- Muhammad Umar
- Paul Mcnaughter
- Dominic Wales
- John Cloughley









HOLMEN

HENRY ROYCE

Thank you for your attention